Ī	Case 2:11-cv-01686-JCM-PAL Document 13 Filed 02/03/12 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	DONALD MITCHELL, )
9	Petitioner, ) 2:11-cv-01686-JCM-PAL
10	vs. ) ORDER
11	) ORDER
12	BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,
13	Respondents.
14	
15	
16	Before the court is petitioner's motion for reconsideration of the court's order denying
17	his motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF #7).
18	Where a ruling has resulted in final judgment or order, a motion for reconsideration may
19	be construed either as a motion to alter or amend judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
20	59(e), or as a motion for relief from judgment pursuant to Federal Rule 60(b). School Dist. No. 1J
21	Multnomah County v. AC&S, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1262 (9th Cir. 1993), cert. denied 512 U.S. 1236 (1994).
22	Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) the court may relieve a party from a final judgment or order
23	for the following reasons:
24	(1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been
25	discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b); (3) fraud
26	(whether heretofore denominated intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or
	judgment is void, (3) the judgment has occir satisfied, released, of

discharged, or a prior judgment upon which it is based has been reversed or otherwise vacated, or it is no longer equitable that the judgment should have prospective application; or (6) any other reason justifying relief from the operation of the judgment.

Motions to reconsider are generally left to the discretion of the trial court. *See Combs v. Nick Garin Trucking*, 825 F.2d 437, 441 (D.C. Cir. 1987). In order to succeed on a motion to reconsider, a party must set forth facts or law of a strongly convincing nature to induce the court to reverse its prior decision. *See Kern-Tulare Water Dist. v. City of Bakersfield*, 634 F. Supp. 656, 665 (E.D. Cal. 1986), *aff'd in part and rev'd in part on other grounds* 828 F.2d 514 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987). Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that any "motion to alter or amend a judgment shall be filed no later than 28 days after entry of the judgment." Furthermore, a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) "should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances, unless the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law." *Herbst v. Cook*, 260 F.3d 1039, 1044 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001), *quoting McDowell v. Calderon*, 197 F.3d 1253, 1255 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999).

There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.1986), cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. *See Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir.1970).

In the order of November 1, 2011, the court denied petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel because the petition appears sufficiently clear in presenting the issues petitioner wishes to raise (ECF #4). Petitioner has failed to make an adequate showing under either Rule 60(b) or 59(e) that this court's order denying his motion should be reversed. Counsel is not justified in this action.

	Case 2:11-cv-01686-JCM-PAL Document 13 Filed 02/03/12 Page 3 of 3
1	Accordingly, petitioner's motion for reconsideration of the order denying his motion for appointment
2	of counsel is denied.
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for district judge to
4	reconsider order (ECF #7) is <b>DENIED</b> .
5	
6	Dated, this 3rd day of February, 2012.
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	_
	3